

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 KENNETH R. TIPPY JR.,
8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 ALPHA PLEXUS LLC.,
11 Defendants.
12

Case No. 2:24-cv-01364-RFB-DJA

ORDER

13
14 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 11) of the
15 Honorable Daniel J. Albregts, entered on January 27, 2025. A district court “may accept, reject, or
16 modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C.
17 § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of
18 a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have
19 been filed the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the
20 report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C.
21 § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court
22 is not required to conduct “any review,” *de novo* or otherwise, of the report and recommendations
23 of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a),
24 objections were due by February 10, 2025. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed
25 the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Therefore, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 4) is
2 **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED without prejudice**. The
4 Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

5
6 **DATED:** May 29, 2025.

7
8 

9 _____
10 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28